



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,924	11/09/2001	Glenn Christopher Arnold	13187/4	1796
7590 07/09/2007 KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS			EXAMINER	
Attention: Patent Administrator			YIMAM, HARUN M	
Suite 1600 525 West Monroe Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60661-3693			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/039,924	ARNOLD ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·	Harun M. Yimam	2623		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value is a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 M</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-5,9 and 11-15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,9 and 11-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/14/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1 - 5, 9 and 11 - 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Although a new ground of rejection has been used to address additional limitations that have been added to **claim 1**, a response is considered necessary for several of applicant's arguments since applicants make arguments that need to be addressed and also since the Berberet (US2003/0226150A1), Gerba (5,931,908) and Gupta (US2005/0086703A1) references will continue to be used to meet several claimed limitations.

2. In response to applicants' argument (page 5, 2nd paragraph) that Gerba does not disclose or suggest determining which pixel object was selected in a frame, applicants' should note that Gerba explicitly discloses said limitation by first defining a screen area of the user's display which may be actively selected by the user, indicate where the selectable screen areas are located and implement an overlay function if the user selects the defined screen area (column 5, lines 5-51).

Application/Control Number: 10/039,924 Page 3

Art Unit: 2623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 5, 9, 11 -12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berberet (US 2003/0226150A1) in view of Gerba (5,931,908).

Considering claim 1, Berberet discloses a real time interactive video system comprising:

a server (see 2.3 in Figs. 2 and 2a) for storing a sequence of frames of video content (by implementing the Store Video function 2.3.1.3 of Fig. 2a—paragraphs 85, 130 and 131);

a viewer interaction platform (1.3 in Fig. 2 and paragraph 0130) configured to display said sequence of frames of video content (paragraph 0087 and 0133) and enable a user to select one or more pixel objects (a particular video frame or parts of a video programs—paragraph 0087, lines 1-10) in one or more frames of said sequence of frames within an input device (Remote control 2.7 in figure 2, paragraph 0121, lines

1-6 and paragraph 0128, lines 5-19) and link said pixel objects selected by said user to alternate resource platforms (paragraph 0087, lines 1-10).

Berberet fails to explicitly disclose separate linked video files and determining if the location within the frame where the action by the pointing device occurred corresponds to a location of a pixel object within the frame. Furthermore, Berberet fails to specifically teach the real time interaction system wherein said linked video files are exported to the viewer interaction platform.

In an analogous art, Gerba discloses a real time interaction system further including a system for reading linked video files (34 Fig. 2) which link predetermined pixel objects in the video frames with predetermined data objects and determines if the location within the frame where the action by the pointing device occurred corresponds to a location of a pixel object within the frame (column 5 lines 5-51). In addition, Gerba discloses a real time interaction system wherein said linked video files (actionable events) are exported to the viewer interaction platform (34 Fig. 2 and column 5 lines 15-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Berberet's system to include determining the location within the frame where the action by the pointing device occurred as well as linked video files that are exported to the viewer interaction platform, as taught by Gerba, for the benefit of

linking pixel objects on the display to data corresponding to the additional information about the object including purchasing information and also for the benefit of allowing the user to view and interact with the linked video files.

Considering claim 2, Berberet fails to specifically teach a timing device for providing timing signals to the sever, the timing signals being synchronized to a real time broadcast of the video content, wherein the timing signals are time stamps.

In an analogous art, Gerba discloses a timing device (6, 14 Fig. 1) for providing timing signals to the server (12 Fig. 1), the timing signal being synchronized to a real time broadcast of the video content, wherein the timing signals are time stamps (column 4 lines 56-64, sequential code column 5 lines 5-15 and column 6 lines 62-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Berberet's system to include the timing signals which are time code numbers, as taught by Gerba. for the advantage of providing a way for the video buffer to keep track of a users current position in a program when the user desires to stop the program and come back at a later time and resume the program from their last position with in the program.

As for claim 3, it is met by the combination of Berberet and Gerba. In particular, Berberet discloses the real time interaction system as recited in claim 1, wherein the

video frames are stored sequentially in a video buffer (2.2, 2.2.1 Fig. 2a and paragraph 0131 lines 7-18).

As for claim 4, Berberet and Gerba disclose a real time interaction system wherein the timing signals are time code numbers (see claim 2).

Dealing with claim 5, Berberet and Gerba disclose a real time interaction system wherein the video frames are stored by time code number (see claim 2).

Regarding claim 9, it is met by the combination of Berberet and Gerba. In particular, Berberet discloses the real time interaction system as recited in claim 1, wherein the viewer interaction platform (1.3 Fig. 2) includes a local storage device (2.9 Fig. 2) for storing user selected video frames (paragraph 128).

As for claim 11, it is met by the combination of Berberet and Gerba. In particular, Berberet discloses the real time interaction system as recited in claim 10, wherein the viewer frame interaction application (1.3 Fig. 2) is configured to support one or more local frame advance navigational buttons (Local VCR, paragraph 128 lines 8-12, a VCR inherently supports frame advance navigational buttons).

Dealing with claim 12, it is met by the combination of Berberet and Gerba. In particular, Berberet discloses the real time interaction system as recited in claim 1,

wherein the frame interaction application (1.3 Fig. 2) is configured to support a frame advance dialog box which allows unselected frames on the server (2.2 Fig. 2) to be called on a time interval basis (the video buffer allows the user to perform the same functions as if they were using a VCR which shows how this invention is configured to support a frame advance dialog box stated above, paragraph 86).

Considering claim 14, it is met by the combination of Berberet and Gerba. In particular, Berberet discloses the real time interaction system as recited in claim 10, wherein the viewer interaction application (1.3 Fig. 2) is configured to support one or more server frame advance navigational buttons for viewing unselected frames in the server (paragraph 123, paragraph 125 lines 1-7, and [Remote Control] table 1 page 13).

With respect to claim 15, it is met by the combination of Berberet and Gerba. In particular, Berberet discloses the real time interaction system as recited in claim 1, wherein the viewer interaction application supports a graphical user interface (paragraph 123 lines 7-11).

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berberet in view of Gupta (US2005/0086703A1).

As for claim 13, Berberet fails to specifically teach the real time interaction system wherein the viewer frame interaction application is configured to support a drop down menu for selecting time intervals.

In an analogous art, Gupta discloses a real time interaction system wherein the viewer frame interaction application (100 Fig. 4) is configured to support a drop down menu for selecting time intervals (paragraph 71).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Berberet's system to include the viewer frame interaction application configured to support a drop down menu for selecting time intervals, as taught by Gupta, for the advantage of allowing users to search through program content by using the on screen display with would reduce the complexity of the hand held remote control.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harun M. Yimam whose telephone number is 571-272-7260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,924

Art Unit: 2623

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HMY

ANDREW Y. KOENIG PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER